Hey Dan,

Paula was looking for comments on draft minutes to a meeting we had last Monday regarding agency strategies anticipating SGC's response to participate. In these minutes she talks about the "Upper Animas" and I was asking her for clarification because I've had several past discussions with her regarding the nomenclature in which she has always considered "Upper Animas" as below Eureka and nothing above. So in these minutes she discusses "Upper Animas", but now she considers it to include everything above Dgo. In the big picture, I can see three primary segments which are based upon primary land ownership which seems to always be a factor in mixed ownership sites: the Lower Animas (A72 to Dgo) and being primary Forest Service (I believe); Middle Animas occurring between A72 and Eureka which is mostly private; and the Upper Animas above Eureka which is mostly BLM. Also, I've requested to Paula that we have a meeting to discuss the RI she has planned because BLM needs to better understand the scope and budget requirements. She's been too busy and can't meet till late January. Nonetheless, I have a good idea of what is needed and have been talking to our managers.

thx

On Thu, Dec 11, 2014 at 8:43 AM, Wall, Dan <wall.dan@epa.gov> wrote:

Hey Brent

EPA hasn't made any official distinction between upper and headwaters that I am aware of. I think it is reasonable to assume that upper includes above Eureka, but you should probably ask for clarification if it is unclear.

What document do you have?

Dan Wall, PhD
Ecotoxicologist
Ecosystems Protection and Remediation
U.S. EPA Region 8 (8EPR-S)
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129

Office: (303) 312-6560
Cell: (303)-253-1659
FAX: (303) 312-7517

--
Brent Lewis
BLM's Colorado State Office
Abandoned Mine Land Program Lead
2850 Youngfield Street
Lakewood, CO 80215
ph: 303.239.3711
email: b1lewis@blm.gov